

Weekly OCII and SFDPH Conference Call Talking Points

12:15 pm-1:00 pm, Telephone

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

March 15, 2021 | Internal Use Only

<u>San Francisco Department of Public Health</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Amy Brownell, Hunters Point Lead- Patrick Fosdahl, Director Environmental Health	<u>Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Kasheica McKinney, Hunters Point Project Manager
--	---

Next Meeting

The next meeting is scheduled for April 5.

Today's Participants – Yolanda, Patrick, Amy, and Kasheica (joined about 20 minutes in),

Communications/Meetings

- **Media:**

- None.

- **Community events/interest:**

- **Parcel A Fact Sheet** – EPA has the Chinese translation of the Parcel A fact sheet to take up OCII on its offer to do a second review of that translation. I remember in January OCII planned to review. Does Kasheica have any updates on reviewing the fact sheet? OCII had been experiencing some delays and are reaching out to other organizations.
- **HPS CAC meeting in February** – Any thoughts on the meeting? OCII thought it went well. In 2020, EPA drafted a letter to the Committee to better explain EPA's role at the site and communicate the Navy is the lead agency; therefore, the Navy is the lead spokesperson for the work and should be the lead in addressing questions from the public.
- **SF Shipyard HOA meeting** – The Navy partnered with the SF Shipyard HOA to present at a January 28, 2021 meeting. How did people think the presentation went?
- **Navy's March virtual open house meeting** – We look forward to this event. Any thoughts from others? OCII is looking forward to this event. The city also agrees this platform is a great opportunity to provide access to information to people.
- **Communicating schedule of radiological retesting to the public.** We saw the Navy has slightly updated its visual on communicating this schedule. They did not respond to Amy's request for a meeting nor my comments on the visual. Thoughts? Next steps?
- **Urine Screening:** Any hope on the Navy addressing this?
- **EPA/Navy letters community involvement:** In November, the Navy sent EPA a response to our August 2020 letter on its community involvement and outreach program. The Navy did commit to doing an evaluation of its community outreach and involvement program, starting in March. What are thoughts on how to hold the Navy accountable to this commitment? Dr. Reza Shirazi asked me for the Navy's response letter, and I recommended he directly ask the Navy.

Internal Use Only

- **Never Surrender: The Fight for EJ in BVHP:** There will be another showing of the documentary on March 16. Any feedback from the community? The showing will include a panel, and BVHP's Michele Pierce is part of the panel.
- **IVAN complaints on dust at HPNS:** In February, someone (we believe Dr. Sumchai) issued three complaints within IVAN related to HPNS. We all saw the video shared at the Feb HPS CAC meeting. She also started a "Go Fund Me" campaign to raise money for more urine screening work.
- Title VI complaint against SFDPH and BAAQMD on dust issues at Parcel A – The complaint against SFDPH has been dismissed; the complaint against BAAQMD has been accepted for further investigation. I sent Amy the letter EPA issued to SFDPH.
- **Congressional interest:** None.
- **FOIA:** Our site attorneys continue to work on the *monster* inquiry from Alston Bird law firm in Los Angeles asking for about 47 different topics from 2001-present. Still working on the FOIA from Hanson Bridgett FOIA.
- **SF Supervisors:** Supervisor Walton reached out the Derek and I to set-up an "updates" meeting; we will meet tomorrow. Anything to report on TI? Heaney- asking question on HPNS building 606. Walton is still asking for additional soil sampling in the below market housing on Parcel A. Below market housing was supposed to be a pretty large percentage of housing (like 30%).

OCII team – Mayor Breed has appointed Sally Oerth as the Interim Executive Director of OCII. Sally has a wealth of experience at OCII. There will likely be a national recruitment process for this mayoral appointed position.

Health & Safety Scans onsite – The Navy has mobilized on this work and reported last week it was 60% done with the efforts. City may ask for a map that shows the white-space scanning plus rework.

OCII is putting together an RFP about environmental consulting services for the Shipyard. They will need to most recent schedule for retesting and the FFA schedule. Currently, Langdon is the contractor.

Parcel G Building RGs Long-term Protectiveness Evaluation: In late December, EPA issued a response to the Navy's early December response letter to EPA. Since, there have been a number of emails between Enrique and Laura.

- We met with the state and suggested a future meeting of the Navy and regulatory agencies on March 29. We haven't received a response from the Navy.
- We continue to encourage the Navy to send the requested information to substantiate the claims of background levels and technical impracticability of implementing the numbers EPA shared in August. The Navy shared some information, which we find deficient to answer these important questions.
- We haven't seen an example of the use of RESRAD for potentially radiologically-contaminated buildings to be determined appropriate for residential use. We really need to ensure the tools properly model exposure pathways for a child in a residential yard. Last month, Kasheica mentioned the developers asking how the conversation is moving forward.
- The Navy did include a number of \$300 million to demolish the buildings. A long time ago, there were discussions about the developer demolishing the buildings prior to transfer; however, it is a different environment now.

Internal Use Only

- Recently, we received additional question from Dan Hirsch on our letter to the Navy on RESRAD and BPRG (August 2020). It's important that EPA is being asked to defend the Navy's approach, so it's important to be prepared to respond to these questions.

Parcel G radiological retesting soil fieldwork: Once the Navy resumes the fieldwork, EPA will continue with our field oversight and split sampling, alongside the state.

- We sent that Navy a small comment and we understand DTSC sent some editorial comments on its latest memorandum-to-the-file.
- We continue to pay close attention to the Navy's implementation of its **dust management and air monitoring plan**. Although we have raised concerns on how the Navy is publicly sharing the data. Since September we continue to ask the Navy to obtain professional meteorological equipment (or stop the practice of subtracting upwind measurements). We have also pointed out where they are subtracting upwind measurements on low wind speed days, which is not the appropriate implementation of the Work Plan. In November, some of the air data numbers for short periods of time exceeded the 24-hour protective limits. We recently received this data and are looking into this.

Parcel D-1 Post-ROD change and LUC RD: Last week, the Navy agreed this post-ROD change for Parcel D-1 will be a ROD Amendment. This will create a public participation process and we are unclear on the timing of this. What are the city's thoughts on this post-ROD change?

Parcel E and Asbestos-containing material (ACM): In late 2020, the Navy shared it had stopped work at Parcel E, because of suspected ACM. They are analyzing the situation and we expect an update soon.